

Density Approach in Credit Risk Modelling

Ying Jiao

Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires
Université Paris 7

Joint work with Nicole El Karoui and Monique Jeanblanc

CREST and Sakigake Symposium, Tokyo
17 Decembre, 2010

Introduction

- ▶ Classically, the pricing of credit derivatives is considered before the default, that is, on the set $\{\tau > t\}$ since the product no longer exists after the default.
- ▶ There exist two main approaches in the credit risk modelling — the **structural approach** and the **intensity approach**.
- ▶ To analyze the credit risks in a much larger context such as the counterparty risks and the contagious defaults phenomenon, etc., we need to understand what goes on after the default, i.e. on the set $\{\tau \leq t\}$
- ▶ Motivated by the “after-default” studies, we propose a new approach — the **density approach** which is based on the density of the conditional distribution of τ .

Information and filtration

- ▶ The progressive enlargement of filtration plays an essential role in the credit risk modelling
- ▶ On the market $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, the default information $\sigma(\tau \wedge t)$ is described as an exogenous source of information compared to the default-free information $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$.
- ▶ The global information $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(\tau \wedge t)$.
- ▶ Remark: any \mathcal{G}_t -measurable random variable $Y_t^{\mathbb{G}}$ is written in the form

$$Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} = Y_t \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} + Y_t(\tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{t \geq \tau\}},$$

where Y_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable and $Y_t(\theta)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ -measurable.

Before-default pricing

- ▶ For single credit name, the pricing of a default sensitive claim consists of computing the conditional expectation w.r.t. \mathbb{G} under some risk-neutral probability.
- ▶ Most credit derivative ceases to exist once the default occurs. So classically, the pricing is on the set $\{\tau > t\}$.
- ▶ The idea (e.g. Bielecki-Jeanblanc-Rutkowski) is to establish an explicit relationship between the \mathbb{G} and the \mathbb{F} conditional expectations
- ▶ Key Lemma (Jeulin-Yor): for any \mathcal{A} -measurable r.v. Y ,

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \mathbb{E}[Y | \mathcal{G}_t] = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} | \mathcal{F}_t]}{\mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t)} \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (1)$$

on the set $A = \{S_t := \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) > 0\}$.

Default density approach

- ▶ From now on, we are interested in what happens after a default, i.e. on $\{\tau \leq t\}$.
- ▶ Similar to Jacod's hypothesis in the initial enlargement of filtration, we introduce:

Density Hypothesis

For any $t \geq 0$, there exists a family of $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -measurable r.v. $\alpha_t(\theta)$ s.t. for any bounded Borel function f on \mathbb{R}_+ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \int_0^\infty f(\theta)\alpha_t(\theta)d\theta \quad a.s.$$

- ▶ In finance, such type of hypotheses appeared in the insider information problems and in the interest rate modellings (Brody-Hughston).

Several simple properties

- ▶ The notion of density can be generalized to any non-negative non-atomic measure instead of the Lebesgue measure.
- ▶ The conditional distribution of τ is characterized by the survival probability defined by

$$S_t(\theta) := \mathbb{P}(\tau > \theta | \mathcal{F}_t) = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \alpha_t(u) du$$

- ▶ In particular, the survival process $S_t = S_t(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \alpha_t(u) du$.
- ▶ For any $\theta \geq 0$, $(S_t(\theta), t \geq 0)$ and $(\alpha_t(\theta), t \geq 0)$ are \mathbb{F} -martingales: for any $\theta \geq t$, $S_t(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[S_{\theta} | \mathcal{F}_t]$ and $\alpha_t(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\alpha_{\theta}(\theta) | \mathcal{F}_t]$.
- ▶ For any $t \geq 0$, $\int_0^{\infty} \alpha_t(u) du = 1$.

The “after-default” pricing

Theorem

Let $Y_T(\theta)$ be an integrable $\mathcal{F}_T \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ -measurable random variable, then for any $t < T$,

$$E[Y_T(\tau)|\mathcal{G}_t] = Y_t^{\text{bd}} \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} + Y_t^{\text{ad}}(T, \tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \quad a.s.$$

where

$$Y_t^{\text{bd}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^\infty Y_T(u) \alpha_T(u) du | \mathcal{F}_t\right]}{S_t}$$
$$Y_t^{\text{ad}}(T, \theta) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_T(\theta) \alpha_T(\theta) | \mathcal{F}_t]}{\alpha_t(\theta)}$$

We observe the after-default density $\alpha_t(\theta)$ where $t \geq \theta$.

- The proof is obtained by a simple verification. Any \mathcal{G}_t -measurable random variable can be written on the set $\{\tau \leq t\}$ as $H_t(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$. Assume that $H_t(\tau)$ is positive or bounded. Using the density $\alpha_t(\theta)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[H_t(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y_T(\tau)] &= \int d\theta \mathbb{E}[H_t(\theta)\mathbb{1}_{\{\theta \leq t\}} Y_T(\theta)\alpha_T(\theta)] \\ &= \int d\theta \mathbb{E}[H_t(\theta)\mathbb{1}_{\{\theta \leq t\}} \mathbb{E}[Y_T(\theta)\alpha_T(\theta)|\mathcal{F}_t]] \\ &= \int d\theta \mathbb{E}[H_t(\theta)\mathbb{1}_{\{\theta \leq t\}} Y_t^{\text{ad}}(T, \theta)\alpha_t(\theta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[H_t(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y_t^{\text{ad}}(T, \tau)],\end{aligned}$$

Immersion property (H-hypothesis)

- ▶ A standard hypothesis in the credit risk modelling is the immersion property, or the H-hypothesis, which asserts that any \mathbb{F} -martingale remains a \mathbb{G} -martingale.
- ▶ In the case where

$$\alpha_t(\theta) = \alpha_\theta(\theta), \quad \forall \theta \leq t$$

one has for any $T \geq t$,

$$S_t = 1 - \int_0^t \alpha_t(\theta) d\theta = 1 - \int_0^t \alpha_T(\theta) d\theta = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_T).$$

- ▶ This last equality implies

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_\infty).$$

and is equivalent to the immersion property.

- ▶ Conversely, if immersion property holds, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_\infty)$, then the process S is decreasing. In addition, for $t > \theta$,

$$S_t(\theta) = S_\theta(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_t(\theta) = \alpha_\theta(\theta).$$

- ▶ Under immersion property, we have for any $T \geq t$,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_T(\tau) | \mathcal{G}_t] \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \mathbb{E}[Y_T(\theta) | \mathcal{F}_t] \Big|_{\theta=\tau} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

- ▶ This implies that H-hypothesis, which is natural and often supposed for the before-default studies, becomes more restrictive in the after-default analysis.

Relationship with the intensity

Definition

Let τ be a \mathbb{G} -stopping time. The **\mathbb{G} -compensator** $\Lambda^{\mathbb{G}}$ of τ is the \mathbb{G} -predictable increasing process such that $(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} - \Lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}}, t \geq 0)$ is a \mathbb{G} -martingale.

- ▶ The \mathbb{G} -compensator is stopped at τ , i.e., $\Lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau}^{\mathbb{G}}$.
- ▶ $\Lambda^{\mathbb{G}}$ coincides, on the set $\{\tau \geq t\}$, with an \mathbb{F} -predictable process $\Lambda^{\mathbb{F}}$, i.e. $\Lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq t\}} = \Lambda_t^{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq t\}}$.
- ▶ If $\Lambda^{\mathbb{G}}$ is absolutely continuous, i.e., $\Lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \int_0^t \lambda_s^{\mathbb{G}} ds$, then $\lambda^{\mathbb{G}}$ is called the **\mathbb{G} -intensity** of τ . The \mathbb{F} -intensity $\lambda^{\mathbb{F}}$ is defined in a similar way.

Proposition (density and intensity)

- Under the density hypothesis, the \mathbb{G} -compensator $\Lambda^{\mathbb{G}}$ of τ admits a density given by

$$\lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \lambda_t^{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \frac{\alpha_t(t) dt}{S_{t-}} \quad a.s.$$

- Conversely, for any $T \geq t$,

$$\alpha_t(T) = \mathbb{E}[\lambda_T^{\mathbb{G}} | \mathcal{F}_t] \quad a.s.$$

Remark

- Given the density $\alpha_t(t)$, we obtain the intensity process since $S_t = \int_t^{\infty} \alpha_t(u) du = \int_t^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\alpha_u(u) | \mathcal{F}_t] du$.
- From the intensity process, we deduce the density $\alpha_t(\theta)$ only for $\theta \geq t$. The intensity contains no information on $\theta < t$, which is important for the after-default case.

Density modelling

- ▶ We search for models of the default density $\alpha_t(\theta)$, which satisfies both the martingale property and the probability property, i.e. $\int_0^\infty \alpha_t(\theta) d\theta = 1$.
- ▶ Similar problems have been studied in the interest rate modelling by Brody-Hughston.
- ▶ The main point here is that in the interest rate models, the maturity θ is always larger than t , i.e., $\theta \geq t$, which corresponds to the before-default part of density.
- ▶ We here need the whole family, and in particular the after-default density where $\theta < t$.

The “after-default” density without H-hypothesis

- ▶ The change of probability plays an important role in constructing the density term structure, particularly for the “after-default” part.
- ▶ We begin from a probability measure \mathbb{P} where the H-hypothesis holds.
- ▶ Suppose that \mathbb{F} is generated by a Brownian motion W . Then W is also a (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P}) -Brownian motion.
- ▶ Let $(N_t := \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} - \Lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}}, t \geq 0)$ be the (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P}) -martingale of pure jump and assume the intensity hypothesis, i.e., $\Lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \int_0^t \lambda_s^{\mathbb{G}} ds = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \lambda_s^{\mathbb{F}} ds$.
- ▶ Then the density of τ under \mathbb{P} is

$$\alpha_t(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\lambda_{\theta}^{\mathbb{F}} S_{\theta} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[\lambda_{\theta}^{\mathbb{F}} e^{-\Lambda_{\theta}^{\mathbb{F}}} | \mathcal{F}_t] \quad t, \theta \geq 0.$$

- ▶ Our aim is to find the “after-default” density under a probability \mathbb{Q} where H-hypothesis is not satisfied.
- ▶ By the martingale representation theorem in \mathbb{G} (Kusuoka), any positive martingale Q with expectation 1 can be written as the solution of a SDE

$$dQ_t = Q_{t-}(\Psi_t dW_t + \Phi_t dN_t), \quad Q_0 = 1$$

where Ψ and Φ , $\Phi > -1$, are \mathbb{G} -predictable processes.

- ▶ Using the decomposed form

$$\Psi_t = \psi_t \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq \tau\}} + \psi_t(\tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{t > \tau\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_t = \phi_t \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq \tau\}} + \phi_t(\tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{t > \tau\}},$$

it follows $Q_t = \bar{q}_t \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} + \bar{q}_t(\tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{t \geq \tau\}}$ where

$$\bar{q}_t = \exp \left(\int_0^t \psi_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \psi_u^2 du - \int_0^t \lambda_u^{\mathbb{F}} \phi_u du \right), t \geq 0$$

$$\bar{q}_t(\theta) = \bar{q}_\theta(\theta) \exp \left(\int_\theta^t \psi_u(\theta) dW_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_\theta^t \psi_u(\theta)^2 du \right), t \geq \theta.$$

with $\bar{q}_\theta(\theta) = \bar{q}_\theta(1 + \phi_\theta)$.

- ▶ The restriction of Q on \mathbb{F} is given by

$$Q_t^{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{E}[Q_t | \mathcal{F}_t] = \bar{q}_t S_t + \int_0^t \bar{q}_t(u) \lambda_u^{\mathbb{F}} S_u du.$$

- ▶ Let \mathbb{Q} be the probability measure defined by $d\mathbb{Q} = Q_t d\mathbb{P}$ on \mathcal{G}_t . Then

$$\alpha_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{Q_t^{\mathbb{F}}} \bar{q}_t(\theta) \alpha_{\theta}(\theta), \quad t \geq \theta$$

$$\alpha_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{Q_t^{\mathbb{F}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\bar{q}_{\theta}(1 + \phi_{\theta}) \alpha_{\theta}(\theta) | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad t < \theta.$$

Density modelling in the general case

- ▶ To apply the change of probability method in a general way, we need a characterization result of \mathbb{G} -martingales.
- ▶ A classical question in the enlargement of filtration is to give decomposition of \mathbb{F} -martingales in terms of \mathbb{G} -semimartingale.
- ▶ In the progressive enlargement with the density, if X is an \mathbb{F} -martingale, then

$$\hat{X}_t = X_t - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{d\langle X, S \rangle_u}{S_u} - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^t \frac{d\langle X, \alpha.(\theta) \rangle_u}{\alpha_u(\theta)} \Big|_{\theta=\tau} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G})$$

- ▶ In the credit analysis, we shall study the problem in the converse sense, that is, we are interested in the \mathbb{G} -martingales and its relationship with the \mathbb{F} -martingales.

Characterization of \mathbb{G} -martingales

Proposition

A càdlàg process $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ is a \mathbb{G} -martingale if and only if there exist a càdlàg \mathbb{F} -adapted process Y and an $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -measurable process $Y_t(\cdot)$ such that

$$Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} = Y_t \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} + Y_t(\tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$$

and that

- $(Y_t S_t + \int_0^t Y_s(s) \alpha_s(s) ds, t \geq 0)$ is an \mathbb{F} -martingale;
 - $(Y_t(\theta) \alpha_t(\theta), t \geq \theta)$ is an \mathbb{F} -martingale.
- Any \mathbb{G} -martingale may be splitted into two martingales, the first one stopped at time τ and the second one starting at time τ , that is $Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} = Y_t^{bd, \mathbb{G}} + Y_t^{ad, \mathbb{G}}$ where

$$Y_t^{bd, \mathbb{G}} = Y_{t \wedge \tau}^{\mathbb{G}} \text{ and } Y_t^{ad, \mathbb{G}} = (Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} - Y_{\tau}^{\mathbb{G}}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}.$$

- We study the two types of martingales respectively.

\mathbb{G} -martingale stopped at time τ

- ▶ Any \mathbb{G} -adapted process $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ stopped at time τ can be written in the form $Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} = Y_t \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} + Y_{\tau}(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$.
- ▶ It is a \mathbb{G} -martingale if and only if

$$(U_t := Y_t S_t + \int_0^t Y_s(s) \alpha_s(s) ds, t \geq 0)$$

is an \mathbb{F} -martingale.

- ▶ Idea of proof. Consider the \mathbb{F} -martingale defined by

$$Y_t^{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{E}[Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} | \mathcal{F}_t] = Y_t S_t + \int_0^t Y_s(s) \alpha_t(s) ds$$

Since $(\int_0^t Y_s(s)(\alpha_t(s) - \alpha_s(s)) ds, t \geq 0)$ is an \mathbb{F} -martingale, and so is U . Conversely, if U is an \mathbb{F} -martingale, verify by the \mathbb{G} -conditional expectation computations that $\mathbb{E}[Y_T^{\mathbb{G}} - Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} | \mathcal{G}_t] = 0$.

\mathbb{G} -martingale starting at time τ

- ▶ Any \mathbb{G} -adapted process $Y^{\mathbb{G}}$ starting at τ can be written in the form $Y_t^{\mathbb{G}} = Y_t(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$
- ▶ By direct computations, it is a \mathbb{G} -martingale if and only if $(Y_t(\theta)\alpha_t(\theta), t \geq \theta)$ is an \mathbb{F} -martingale.

Girsanov's theorem

- ▶ Let τ be given on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with density $\alpha_t(\theta)$, $t, \theta \geq 0$.
- ▶ Let $(\beta_t(\theta), t \geq \theta)$ be a family of strictly positive \mathbb{F} -martingales and define $\beta_t(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\beta_\theta(\theta) | \mathcal{F}_t]$ for $t < \theta$.
- ▶ Let

$$Q_t := \frac{q_t}{M_0^\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} + \frac{q_t(\tau)}{M_0^\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}.$$

where $M_t^\beta = \int_0^\infty \beta_t(\theta) d\theta$, $q_t(\theta) = \beta_t(\theta) / \alpha_t(\theta)$, $t \geq \theta$ and $q_t S_t = \mathbb{E}[\int_t^\infty q_u(u) \alpha_u(u) du | \mathcal{F}_t]$.

- ▶ Then, Q is a positive (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P}) -martingale with expectation 1 and defines a probability measure \mathbb{Q} on $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_\infty, \mathbb{G})$ equivalent to \mathbb{P} and

$$\alpha_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\theta) = \frac{\beta_t(\theta)}{M_t^\beta}, \quad t \geq 0, \theta \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

is the (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q}) -density process of τ .

Several remarks

- ▶ By adopting the density w.r.t the filtration \mathbb{F} , we start from the knowledge based on the default-free information.
- ▶ If we are only concerned with what happens up to the default time, it is natural to assume the H-hypothesis with a stochastic intensity process.
- ▶ We anticipate the default has a large impact on the market: with the non-constant after-default density, even the default-free market is “modified” after the default.
- ▶ This framework can be adopted to study counterparty risks and multiple default risks.

Application to modelling of successive defaults

- ▶ In the literature, there are two approaches to model the multiple default events: **bottom-up** and **top-down**.
- ▶ The “before default” and “after default” analysis adapt naturally to study the ordered default times in a recursive manner.
- ▶ There is a close link between the top-down models of the total loss process and the successive defaults.
- ▶ The correlation between default times is characterized by the joint density in a dynamic manner.

Density hypothesis for ordered defaults

- ▶ Let us consider a family of random times (τ_1, \dots, τ_n) on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ taking values on \mathbb{R}_+^n , whose increasing-ordered permutation is denoted by

$$\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_n.$$

- ▶ **Joint density hypothesis for $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$:** there exists a family of $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ -measurable functions $(\omega, \mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \alpha_t(\mathbf{u})$ with $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, such that for any bounded Borel function $f : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\sigma) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(\mathbf{u}) \alpha_t(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

- ▶ The density satisfies

$$\alpha_t(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$

for any \mathbf{u} outside the set $\{u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_n\}$.

Default information

- ▶ The default information arrives progressively with successive defaults.
- ▶ For any $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $\mathbb{D}^i = (\mathcal{D}_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$ be the filtration associated with σ_i , i.e. $\mathcal{D}_t^i = \sigma(\sigma_i \wedge t)$ and by

$$\mathbb{D}^{(i)} = (\mathcal{D}_t^{(i)})_{t \geq 0} := \mathbb{D}^1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{D}^i.$$

- ▶ The global information also contains the default-free information:

$$\mathbb{G}^{(i)} = (\mathcal{G}_t^{(i)})_{t \geq 0} := \mathbb{F} \vee \mathbb{D}^{(i)}$$

and define for convenience $\mathbb{G}^{(0)} = \mathbb{F}$.

- ▶ **Market full information** : $\mathcal{G}_t^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{t \wedge \sigma_i}^{(n)} = \mathcal{G}_t^{(i)}$.
- ▶ Any $\mathcal{G}_t^{(n)}$ -measurable random variable X can be written in the form $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_j \leq t < \sigma_{j+1}\}} X_t^j(\sigma_{(j)})$ where $X_t^j(\mathbf{u}_{(j)})$ is $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+^j)$ -measurable and $\sigma_{(j)} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_j)$.

A useful computation result

- ▶ Let $Y_T(\mathbf{u})$ be positive and $\mathcal{F}_T \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ -measurable, then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_T(\sigma) | \mathcal{G}_t^{(n)}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Y_T(\mathbf{u})\alpha_T(\mathbf{u})}{\alpha_t(\mathbf{u})} | \mathcal{F}_t\right] \mu_t^{(n)}(d\mathbf{u})$$

where

$$\mu_t^{(n)}(d\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq t < \sigma_{i+1}\}} \frac{\alpha_t(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u}_{(i+1:n)}}{\int_{]t, \infty[} \alpha_t(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u}_{(i+1:n)}} \delta_{\sigma_{(i)}}(d\mathbf{u}_{(i)})$$

with δ denoting the Dirac measure.

- ▶ k^{th} -to-default swap: $Y_T(\sigma) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_k > T\}}$
- ▶ CDO tranche: $Y_T(\sigma) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq T\}} - k)_+$
- ▶ The prices depend on both the number and the occurrence timing of defaults.

Recursive point of view

- ▶ The above result can also be obtained from a recursive point of view.
- ▶ On the set $\{\sigma_{i+1} > t\}$, $\mathcal{G}_t^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_t^{(i)}$ coincide, so

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq t < \sigma_{i+1}\}} \mathbb{E}[Y_T(\sigma) | \mathcal{G}_t^{(n)}] = \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq t < \sigma_{i+1}\}} \mathbb{E}[Y_T(\sigma) | \mathcal{G}_t^{(i)}]$$

- ▶ Let $\sigma_{(i+1:n)} = (\sigma_{i+1}, \dots, \sigma_n)$. Its density $\alpha^{(i+1:n)|i}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{G}^{(i)}$ can be given explicitly using the \mathbb{F} density of $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$,
- ▶ Generalizing the before-default formula to $\sigma_{(i+1:n)}$ and the corresponding reference filtration $\mathcal{G}_t^{(i)}$ implies the result.

Total loss process

- ▶ In the top-down models, one works with the cumulative loss of the underlying portfolio defined by

$$L_t := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq t\}}.$$

- ▶ Loss information: $\mathbb{D}^L = (\mathcal{D}_t^L)_{t \geq 0}$ where $\mathcal{D}_t^L = \sigma(L_s, s \leq t)$ including the number of defaults and the timing of past default events.
- ▶ It holds $L_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq t\}}$ and $\{L_t < k\} = \{\sigma_k > t\}$.
- ▶ The same information flow as the ordered defaults:

$$\mathcal{D}_t^{(n)} = \mathcal{D}_t^L, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Successive default intensities and loss intensity

- ▶ The $\mathbb{G}^{(k)}$ -intensity of σ_k is the $\mathbb{G}^{(k)}$ -adapted process λ^k such that $(\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_k \leq t\}} - \int_0^t \lambda_s^k ds, t \geq 0)$ is a $\mathbb{G}^{(k)}$ -martingale.
- ▶ The $\mathbb{G}^{(k)}$ -intensity of σ_k coincides with its $\mathbb{G}^{(n)}$ -intensity. It is null outside the set $\{\sigma_{k-1} \leq t < \sigma_k\}$ and is given as

$$\lambda_t^k = \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_{k-1} \leq t < \sigma_k\}} \lambda_t^{k, \mathbb{F}}(\sigma_{(k-1)})$$

where $\lambda_t^{k, \mathbb{F}}(\sigma_{(k-1)})$ is $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+^{k-1})$ -measurable.

- ▶ The loss intensity, is the \mathbb{G}^L -adapted process λ^L such that $(L_t - \int_0^t \lambda_s^L ds, t \geq 0)$ is a \mathbb{G}^L -martingale.
- ▶ The loss intensity is the sum of the intensities of σ_k , i.e.

$$\lambda_t^L = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_t^k, \quad a.s..$$

- ▶ Some explicit models: Frey-Backhaus, Arnsdorf-Halperin, Herbertsson, Giesecke and al., etc.

Immersion property

- ▶ The immersion holds between $\mathbb{G}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbb{G}^{(i+1)}$ for any $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ if and only if \mathbb{F} is immersed in \mathbb{G}^L (Ehlers-Schönbucher).
- ▶ This is equivalent to

$$\sigma_i = \inf\{t \geq \sigma_{i-1} : \int_{\sigma_{i-1}}^t \lambda_s^{i,\mathbb{F}}(\sigma_{(i-1)}) ds \geq \eta_i\}.$$

where η_i is independent of $\mathbb{G}^{(i-1)}$, hence of $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{i-1}$.

- ▶ Assuming immersion between \mathbb{F} and $\mathbb{G}^{(n)}$, then the loss distribution is given for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ by

$$\mathbb{P}(L_T \leq k | \mathcal{G}_t^L) = \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \sigma_{k+1}\}} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ - \int_t^T \lambda_s^{k+1,\mathbb{F}}(\sigma_{(k)}) ds \right\} | \mathcal{G}_t^{(k)} \right].$$

- ▶ This is not true in general.

Joint density and successive intensity

- Under a probability \mathbb{P} where H-hypothesis holds between \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G}^L , then for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that $\theta_1 \leq \dots \leq \theta_n$ and any $0 \leq t \leq \theta_n$,

$$\alpha_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{\theta_i}^{i, \mathbb{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(i-1)}) \exp \left\{ - \int_{\theta_{i-1}}^{\theta_i} \lambda_u^{i, \mathbb{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(i-1)}) du \right\} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \quad a.s.. \quad (4)$$

If $t > \theta_n$, then $\alpha_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \alpha_{\theta_n}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

- To obtain the whole term structure of the joint density in the general case, it needs a change of probability measure on \mathbb{G}^L and more information.

Bottom-up vs top-down

- ▶ The ordered joint density of σ can be deduced from the non-ordered one of $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$.
- ▶ Denote by $\beta_t(\mathbf{u})$, $t \geq 0$, $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbf{R}_+^n$ the joint density of τ .
- ▶ For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that $\theta_1 \leq \dots \leq \theta_n$,

$$\alpha_t(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta_1 \leq \dots \leq \theta_n\}} \sum_{\Pi} \beta_t(\theta_{\Pi(1)}, \dots, \theta_{\Pi(n)}) \quad (5)$$

where $(\Pi(1), \dots, \Pi(n))$ is a permutation of $(1, \dots, n)$.

- ▶ In particular, if τ is exchangeable, then

$$\alpha_t(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta_1 \leq \dots \leq \theta_n\}} n! \beta_t(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n).$$

Concluding remarks

- ▶ The density approach can also be applied to non-ordered defaults $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ directly. However, the computation burden is heavy with 2^n default scenarios instead of $n + 1$.
- ▶ Several important points for giving explicit models of joint survival probability w.r.t. \mathbb{F} :
 - ▶ compatibility between the joint probability property and the martingale property
 - ▶ describe the correlation structure in a dynamic manner
 - ▶ methods: change of probability, diffusing a joint probability function as a martingale, backward construction...
- ▶ The density approach allows to give an analysis depending on both the number and the timing of past default events.

Thanks for your attention !