# All 2-positive linear maps from $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ are decomposable*

Wai Shing Tang
* Joint work with Y. Yang and D.H. Leung

National University of Singapore

Quantum Information Theory and Related Topics 2016
Ritsumeikan University, 9 September, 2016

# A Corollary for Generalized Choi Maps in Three Dimensional Matrix Algebra

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the $C^*$-algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over the complex field.

# A Corollary for Generalized Choi Maps in Three Dimensional Matrix Algebra

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the $C^*$-algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over the complex field.

In their 1992 LAA paper *Generalized Choi Maps in Three Dimensional Matrix Algebra*, Cho, Kye and Lee constructed a class of positive linear maps in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$:

# A Corollary for Generalized Choi Maps in Three Dimensional Matrix Algebra

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the $C^*$-algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over the complex field.

In their 1992 LAA paper *Generalized Choi Maps in Three Dimensional Matrix Algebra*, Cho, Kye and Lee constructed a class of positive linear maps in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$:

For nonnegative real numbers a,b and c, the generalized Choi map $\Phi[a, b, c]$ is defined by

$$\Phi[a,b,c](X) = \begin{pmatrix} ax_{11} + bx_{22} + cx_{33} & -x_{12} & -x_{13} \\ -x_{21} & cx_{11} + ax_{22} + bx_{33} & -x_{23} \\ -x_{31} & -x_{32} & bx_{11} + cx_{22} + ax_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $X = [x_{ij}] \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$.

# A Corollary for Generalized Choi Maps in Three Dimensional Matrix Algebra

In that paper, conditions on $a, b, c$ were determined for the generalized Choi map $\Phi[a, b, c]$ to be positive, 2-positive, 2-copositive, completely positive, completely copositive and decomposable, respectively.

# A Corollary for Generalized Choi Maps in Three Dimensional Matrix Algebra

In that paper, conditions on $a, b, c$ were determined for the generalized Choi map $\Phi[a, b, c]$ to be positive, 2-positive, 2-copositive, completely positive, completely copositive and decomposable, respectively.

Moreover, it was shown that

# A Corollary for Generalized Choi Maps in Three Dimensional Matrix Algebra

In that paper, conditions on $a, b, c$ were determined for the generalized Choi map $\Phi[a, b, c]$ to be positive, 2-positive, 2-copositive, completely positive, completely copositive and decomposable, respectively.

Moreover, it was shown that

**A Corollary**

If the linear map $\Phi[a, b, c]$ is 2-positive or 2-copositive, then it is decomposable.

# A Conjecture

# A Conjecture

**Conjecture 1**

Every 2-positive (respectively 2-copositive) map in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$ is decomposable.

# Strong Evidence that All PPTES in $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ (Two Qutrits) have Schmidt Number 2.

Let $\rho$ be the density matrix for a quantum state in a bipartite system $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. The *Schmidt number* of the density matrix (or the state) $\rho$ is defined by

$$SN(\rho) = \min \left\{ \max_k SR(z_k) \right\},$$

where the minimum is taken over all possible decompositions

$$\rho = \sum_k p_k \cdot z_k z_k^*$$

with $z_k$ being vectors in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ and $p_k > 0$, $\sum_k p_k = 1$.

# Strong Evidence that All PPTES in $\mathbf{C}^3 \otimes \mathbf{C}^3$ (Two Qutrits) have Schmidt Number 2.

In the 2001 Phy Rev A paper *Schmidt number witnesses and bound entanglement*, Sanpera, Bruß and Lewenstein showed:

# Strong Evidence that All PPTES in $\mathbf{C}^3 \otimes \mathbf{C}^3$ (Two Qutrits) have Schmidt Number 2.

In the 2001 Phy Rev A paper *Schmidt number witnesses and bound entanglement*, Sanpera, Bruß and Lewenstein showed:

**Validity for some special cases**

All positive partial transpose (PPT) entangled states of rank 4 have Schmidt number 2.

# Strong Evidence that All PPTES in $\mathbf{C}^3 \otimes \mathbf{C}^3$ (Two Qutrits) have Schmidt Number 2.

In the 2001 Phy Rev A paper *Schmidt number witnesses and bound entanglement*, Sanpera, Bruß and Lewenstein showed:

**Validity for some special cases**

All positive partial transpose (PPT) entangled states of rank 4 have Schmidt number 2.

They also formulated the following conjecture and presented strong evidence of its validity heuristically.

# Strong Evidence that All PPTES in $\mathbf{C}^3 \otimes \mathbf{C}^3$ (Two Qutrits) have Schmidt Number 2.

In the 2001 Phy Rev A paper *Schmidt number witnesses and bound entanglement*, Sanpera, Bruß and Lewenstein showed:

**Validity for some special cases**

All positive partial transpose (PPT) entangled states of rank 4 have Schmidt number 2.

They also formulated the following conjecture and presented strong evidence of its validity heuristically.

**Conjecture 2**

In $\mathbf{C}^3 \otimes \mathbf{C}^3$, all PPT entangled states have Schmidt number 2.

# Dual Cone Relations

There is a classical result on the dual cone relations between quantum states and positive maps pointed out and developed by Størmer, Itoh, Eom and Kye in a series of papers.

# Dual Cone Relations

There is a classical result on the dual cone relations between quantum states and positive maps pointed out and developed by Størmer, Itoh, Eom and Kye in a series of papers.

Let us consider the duality between the space $M_m(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the space $B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Let $E_{ij}$ be the canonical matrix units in $M_m(\mathbb{C})$. For $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} E_{ij} \otimes A_{ij} \in M_m(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and a linear map $\phi \in B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, define a bilinear form:

$$\langle A, \phi \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} Tr(\phi(E_{ij}) A_{ij}^t) = Tr(A [\phi(E_{ij})]^t).$$

# Dual Cone Relations

Denote by $\mathbb{P}_k[m, n]$ and $\mathbb{P}^k[m, n]$ the set of all $k$-positive maps and the set of all $k$-copositive maps in $B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, respectively.

# Dual Cone Relations

Denote by $\mathbb{P}_k[m,n]$ and $\mathbb{P}^k[m,n]$ the set of all $k$-positive maps and the set of all $k$-copositive maps in $B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, respectively.

Define convex cones $\mathbb{V}_k[m,n]$ and $\mathbb{V}^k[m,n]$ in $M_m(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ as

$$\mathbb{V}_k[m,n] = \{zz^* : \ SR(z) \le k, \ z \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n\}^{\circ\circ},$$
$$\mathbb{V}^k[m,n] = \{(zz^*)^\tau : \ SR(z) \le k, \ z \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n\}^{\circ\circ}.$$

Here $\tau$ is partial transposition that acts as transposition only on the first part of a tensor product.

# Dual Cone Relations

With the aforementioned notations, by the dual correspondence between maps and states, the following diagram holds:

# Dual Cone Relations

With the aforementioned notations, by the dual correspondence between maps and states, the following diagram holds:

## Dual Cone Relations

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \mathbb{V}_1 & \subsetneq & \cdots & \mathbb{V}_k & \subsetneq & \mathbb{V}_{m\wedge n} & = & (M_m(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \\ \updownarrow & & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \\ \mathbb{P}_1 & \supsetneq & \cdots & \mathbb{P}_k & \supsetneq & \mathbb{P}_{m\wedge n} & \cong & (M_m(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \end{array}$$

# Dual Cone Relations

With the aforementioned notations, by the dual correspondence between maps and states, the following diagram holds:

## Dual Cone Relations

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \mathbb{V}_1 & \subsetneqq & \cdots & \mathbb{V}_k & \subsetneqq & \mathbb{V}_{m\wedge n} & = & (M_m(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \\ \updownarrow & & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \\ \mathbb{P}_1 & \supsetneqq & \cdots & \mathbb{P}_k & \supsetneqq & \mathbb{P}_{m\wedge n} & \cong & (M_m(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}))^+ \end{array}$$

where $m \wedge n = \min\{m, n\}$, and a similar diagram holds in case of copositivity.

# Dual Cone Relations when $m = n = 3$

Denote by $\mathbb{D}$ the cone of all decomposable maps and $\mathbb{T}$ the cone of all positive partial transpose states.

# Dual Cone Relations when $m = n = 3$

Denote by $\mathbb{D}$ the cone of all decomposable maps and $\mathbb{T}$ the cone of all positive partial transpose states.

In Kye's paper *Facial structures for various notions of positivity and applications to the theory of entanglement*, the two conjectures are unified in the dual cone scheme.

# Dual Cone Relations when $m = n = 3$

Denote by $\mathbb{D}$ the cone of all decomposable maps and $\mathbb{T}$ the cone of all positive partial transpose states.

In Kye's paper *Facial structures for various notions of positivity and applications to the theory of entanglement*, the two conjectures are unified in the dual cone scheme.

**Dual Cone Relations when $m = n = 3$**

$$\begin{array}{lccccccc} Conj2: & \mathbb{V}_1 & \subsetneq & \mathbb{T}(?) & \subsetneq & \mathbb{V}_2 & \subsetneq & \mathbb{V}_3 = (M_3(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_3(\mathbb{C}))^+ \\ & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ Conj1: & \mathbb{P}_1 & \supsetneq & \mathbb{D}(?) & \supsetneq & \mathbb{P}_2 & \supsetneq & \mathbb{P}_3 \cong (M_3(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_3(\mathbb{C}))^+ \end{array}$$

# A Peel-off Theorem

An astonishing result first appeared in Marciniak's paper *On extremal positive maps acting between type I factors*.

# A Peel-off Theorem

An astonishing result first appeared in Marciniak's paper *On extremal positive maps acting between type I factors*.

**Peel-off Theorem (Marciniak)**

If $\phi$ is a non-zero 2-positive map, then there exists a non-zero completely positive map $\psi$ such that $\phi \geq \psi$.

# Trivial Lifting

We will present a slightly stronger version (Choi Decomposition) of the peel-off result by block-matrix approach, which was shown by Choi for the case of 2-positive maps.

# Trivial Lifting

We will present a slightly stronger version (Choi Decomposition) of the peel-off result by block-matrix approach, which was shown by Choi for the case of 2-positive maps.

## Definition of Trivial Lifting

Given a linear map $\chi \in B(M_s(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, fix the canonical matrix unit basis $E_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, .., s$, in $M_s(\mathbb{C})$, under which the Choi matrix is $C_\chi = [\chi(E_{ij})]_{i,j=1}^s \in M_s(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Given $L = \{n_1, ..., n_p\} \subset \{1, ..., s+p\}$, where $n_1 < \cdots < n_p$, extend the matrix $C_\chi$ to a $(s+p) \times (s+p)$ block matrix $C_L^{lift} \in M_{s+p}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ by adding one row and one column of $n \times n$ zero matrices at the $n_k^{th}$ level for each $k = 1, ..., p$ as follows:

# Trivial Lifting

## Definition of Trivial Lifting

$$C_L^{lift} \triangleq \begin{array}{c} \\ 1^{st} \\ \vdots \\ n_k^{th} \\ \vdots \\ (s+p)^{th} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccc} 1^{st} & \cdots & n_k^{th} & \cdots & (s+p)^{th} \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \chi(E_{11}) & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \chi(E_{1,s}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi(E_{s,1}) & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \chi(E_{s,s}) \end{pmatrix} \end{array}.$$

Denote by $\tilde{\chi}_L$ the map in $B(M_{s+p}(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ associated with the Choi matrix $C_{\tilde{\chi}_L} = [\tilde{\chi}_L(E_{ij})]_{i,j=1}^{s+p} = C_L^{lift}$. Then the map $\tilde{\chi}_L$ is called a L-trivial lifting of the original map $\chi$. If $L = \{q\}$ is a singleton, simply denote by $\tilde{\chi}_q$ the $q$-trivial lifting of $\chi$.

# Trivial Lifting

Remarks for the trivial lifting:

# Trivial Lifting

Remarks for the trivial lifting:

**Remark 1 for trivial lifting**

A map $\chi$ is $k$-positive (respectively $k$-copositive) if and only if its trivial lifting $\tilde{\chi}_L$ is $k$-positive (respectively $k$-copositive).

# Trivial Lifting

Remarks for the trivial lifting:

**Remark 1 for trivial lifting**

A map $\chi$ is $k$-positive (respectively $k$-copositive) if and only if its trivial lifting $\tilde{\chi}_L$ is $k$-positive (respectively $k$-copositive).

**Remark 2 for trivial lifting**

A map $\chi$ is decomposable if and only if its trivial lifting $\tilde{\chi}_L$ is decomposable.

# Main Result

Motivated by Choi's block matrix approach regarding the peel-off theorem, we obtain the following result:

# Main Result

Motivated by Choi's block matrix approach regarding the peel-off theorem, we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 1 (Choi Decomposition Theorem)**

Let $\phi$ be a non-zero $k$-positive ($2 \leq k < \min\{m, n\}$) map in $B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Then there exists a decomposition $\phi = \psi + \gamma$, where $\psi$ is a non-zero completely positive map and $\gamma$ is a $p$-trivial lifting of a $(k-1)$-positive map in $B(M_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, for some $p \in \{1, ..., m\}$.

# Main Result

Motivated by Choi's block matrix approach regarding the peel-off theorem, we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 1 (Choi Decomposition Theorem)**

Let $\phi$ be a non-zero $k$-positive ($2 \leq k < \min\{m, n\}$) map in $B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Then there exists a decomposition $\phi = \psi + \gamma$, where $\psi$ is a non-zero completely positive map and $\gamma$ is a $p$-trivial lifting of a $(k-1)$-positive map in $B(M_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, for some $p \in \{1, ..., m\}$.

Notice that the dimension of the space where the remaining map $\gamma$ resides is reduced.

# Sketch of the Proof: Useful Lemmas

## Lemma 1: Positivity in terms of Block Matrix

Suppose a hermitian matrix M is partitioned as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix},$$

where A and C are square matrices. TFAE:

1. $M \geq 0$,
2. $A \geq 0,\ M/A = C - B^*A^\dagger B \geq 0,\ range(B) \subset range(A)$,
3. $C \geq 0,\ M/C = A - BC^\dagger B^* \geq 0,\ range(B^*) \subset range(C)$.

Here $A^\dagger$ and $C^\dagger$ refer to the Moore-Penrose pseudo inverses of A and C, respectively.

# Sketch of the Proof: Useful Lemmas

## Lemma 2: Properties of the Moore-Penrose Pseudo Inverse

1. $AA^\dagger A = A$, $A^\dagger AA^\dagger = A^\dagger$.
2. $(AA^\dagger)^* = AA^\dagger$, $(A^\dagger A)^* = A^\dagger A$.
3. $AA^\dagger$ is the orthogonal projector onto the range of $A$, $A^\dagger A$ is the orthogonal projector onto the range of $A^*$.
4. If $A$ is invertible, then $A^\dagger = A^{-1}$.
5. If $A \geq 0$, then $A^\dagger \geq 0$.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Let us look at the Choi matrix $C_\phi$ for $\phi$, with $A_{ij} = \phi(E_{ij}),\ i, j = 1, ..., m$.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Let us look at the Choi matrix $C_\phi$ for $\phi$, with
$A_{ij} = \phi(E_{ij}),\ i, j = 1, ..., m.$

## Choi Decomposition: Original Part

$$C_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1j} & \cdots & A_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{i1} & \cdots & A_{ij} & \cdots & A_{im} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Let us look at the Choi matrix $C_\phi$ for $\phi$, with $A_{ij} = \phi(E_{ij}),\ i, j = 1, ..., m.$

## Choi Decomposition: Original Part

$$C_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1j} & \cdots & A_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{i1} & \cdots & A_{ij} & \cdots & A_{im} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

Observation 1: WOLOG, assume that $\phi(E_{mm}) \neq 0$.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

The peel-off part is a matrix with $A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj}$ in its $(i,j)$-entry.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

The peel-off part is a matrix with $A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj}$ in its $(i,j)$-entry.

## Choi Decomposition: Peel-off Part

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \ddots & A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

The peel-off part is a matrix with $A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj}$ in its $(i,j)$-entry.

## Choi Decomposition: Peel-off Part

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \ddots & A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

Observation 2: $U \geq 0$, and $U$ is non-zero.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

The remaining part is a matrix with $R_{ij} = A_{ij} - A_{im}A^{\dagger}_{mm}A_{mj}$ in its $(i,j)$-entry.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

The remaining part is a matrix with $R_{ij} = A_{ij} - A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj}$ in its $(i,j)$-entry.

## Choi Decomposition: Remaining Part

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} - A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{1j} - A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{1m} - A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{i1} - A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{ij} - A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{im} - A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} - A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \ddots & A_{mj} - A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{mm} - A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

The remaining part is a matrix with $R_{ij} = A_{ij} - A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj}$ in its $(i,j)$-entry.

## Choi Decomposition: Remaining Part

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} - A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{1j} - A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{1m} - A_{1m}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{i1} - A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{ij} - A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{im} - A_{im}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} - A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{m1} & \ddots & A_{mj} - A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mj} & \cdots & A_{mm} - A_{mm}A_{mm}^{\dagger}A_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

Observation 3: Entries in last row and last column of R are zero matrices

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Now $C_\phi = U + R = C_\psi + C_\gamma$, with $\psi$ completely positive.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Now $C_\phi = U + R = C_\psi + C_\gamma$, with $\psi$ completely positive.

Question: What will $\gamma$ be?

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Now $C_\phi = U + R = C_\psi + C_\gamma$, with $\psi$ completely positive.

Question: What will $\gamma$ be?

Good News: $k$-positivity of $\phi$ guarantees $(k-1)$-positivity of $\gamma$.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Now $C_\phi = U + R = C_\psi + C_\gamma$, with $\psi$ completely positive.

Question: What will $\gamma$ be?

Good News: $k$-positivity of $\phi$ guarantees $(k-1)$-positivity of $\gamma$.

## Choi Decomposition: Employ $k$-positivity of $\phi$ for $\xi\xi^*$

$$\xi\xi^* = \begin{pmatrix} w^1(w^1)^* & \cdots & w^1(w^j)^* & \cdots & w^1 e_m^* \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w^i(w^1)^* & \cdots & w^i(w^j)^* & \cdots & w^i e_m^* \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_m(w^1)^* & \cdots & e_m(w^j)^* & \cdots & e_m e_m^* \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

Here $\xi = [w^1; ...; w^{k-1}; e_m]$, where $w^1, w^2, .., w^{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ are arbitrary column vectors, and $e_m = (0, ..., 0, 1)^T \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

## Choi Decomposition: Employ $k$-positivity of $\phi$ for $\xi\xi^*$

$$(id_k \otimes \phi)(\xi\xi^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(w^1(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^1(w^j)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^1 e_m^*) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(w^i(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^i(w^j)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^i e_m^*) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(e_m(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(e_m(w^j)^*) & \cdots & \phi(e_m e_m^*) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

**Choi Decomposition: Employ $k$-positivity of $\phi$ for $\xi\xi^*$**

$$(id_k \otimes \phi)(\xi\xi^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(w^1(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^1(w^j)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^1 e_m^*) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(w^i(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^i(w^j)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^i e_m^*) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(e_m(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(e_m(w^j)^*) & \cdots & \phi(e_m e_m^*) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Observation 4: Recall Lemma 1.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

By equivalence of Condition 1 and Condition 3 in Lemma 1, the condition $(id_k \otimes \phi)(\xi\xi^*) \geq 0$ expands to:

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

By equivalence of Condition 1 and Condition 3 in Lemma 1, the condition $(id_k \otimes \phi)(\xi\xi^*) \geq 0$ expands to:

## Choi Decomposition: Employ $k$-positivity of $\phi$ for $\xi\xi^*$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi(w^1(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^1(w^{k-1})^*) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(w^{k-1}(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^{k-1}(w^{k-1})^*) \end{pmatrix} \geq$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi(w^1 e_m^*) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(w^{k-1} e_m^*) \end{pmatrix} \phi(e_m e_m^*)^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \phi(e_m(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(e_m(w^{k-1})^*) \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

By equivalence of Condition 1 and Condition 3 in Lemma 1, the condition $(id_k \otimes \phi)(\xi\xi^*) \geq 0$ expands to:

## Choi Decomposition: Employ $k$-positivity of $\phi$ for $\xi\xi^*$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi(w^1(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^1(w^{k-1})^*) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(w^{k-1}(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(w^{k-1}(w^{k-1})^*) \end{pmatrix} \geq$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi(w^1 e_m^*) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(w^{k-1} e_m^*) \end{pmatrix} \phi(e_m e_m^*)^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \phi(e_m(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \phi(e_m(w^{k-1})^*) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observation 5: The $(s,t)$-entry of above RHS is $\psi(w^s(w^t)^*)$:

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

## Choi Decomposition: Employ $k$-positivity of $\phi$ for $\xi\xi^*$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\phi(w^s e_m^*)\phi(e_m e_m^*)^\dagger \phi(e_m (w^t)^*) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m w_i^s \phi(E_{im})\right)\phi(E_{mm})^\dagger \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \overline{w_j^t}\phi(E_{mj})\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m w_i^s \overline{w_j^t}\left(\phi(E_{im})\phi(E_{mm})^\dagger \phi(E_{mj})\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m w_i^s \overline{w_j^t}(A_{im}A_{mm}^\dagger A_{mj}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m w_i^s \overline{w_j^t}\psi(e_i e_j^*) \\
&= \psi(w^s (w^t)^*)
\end{aligned}
$$

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

This proves that $\gamma = \phi - \psi$ is $(k-1)$-positive.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

This proves that $\gamma = \phi - \psi$ is $(k-1)$-positive.

**Choi Decomposition: Employ $k$-positivity of $\phi$ for $\xi\xi^*$**

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma(w^1(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \gamma(w^1(w^{k-1})^*) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma(w^{k-1}(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \gamma(w^{k-1}(w^{k-1})^*) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0, \ \forall w^1, ..., w^{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

This proves that $\gamma = \phi - \psi$ is $(k-1)$-positive.

**Choi Decomposition: Employ $k$-positivity of $\phi$ for $\xi\xi^*$**

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma(w^1(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \gamma(w^1(w^{k-1})^*) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma(w^{k-1}(w^1)^*) & \cdots & \gamma(w^{k-1}(w^{k-1})^*) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0, \ \forall w^1, ..., w^{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

Combining Observation 3 and the above fact, we know the form of the remaining map $\gamma$.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Denote the matrix $R = C_\gamma$ by:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} K & & 0 \\ & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_K & & 0 \\ & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Denote the matrix $R = C_\gamma$ by:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} K & & 0 \\ & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_\kappa & & 0 \\ & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Choi Decomposition: $\gamma$ is a trivial-lifting of $\kappa$**

The map $\kappa \in B(M_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is defined by its Choi matrix $C_\kappa = K \in M_{m-1}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ through $\kappa(E_{st}) = K_{st}, s, t = 1, .., m-1$. It is obvious that $\gamma \in B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is the $m$-trivial lifting of $\kappa \in B(M_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$.

# Sketch of the Proof: Block Matrix Approach

Denote the matrix $R = C_\gamma$ by:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} K & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 0 & \cdots \end{matrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_\kappa & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 0 & \cdots \end{matrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Choi Decomposition: $\gamma$ is a trivial-lifting of $\kappa$

The map $\kappa \in B(M_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is defined by its Choi matrix $C_\kappa = K \in M_{m-1}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ through $\kappa(E_{st}) = K_{st}, s, t = 1, .., m-1$. It is obvious that $\gamma \in B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is the $m$-trivial lifting of $\kappa \in B(M_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$.

A similar result holds for $k$-copositive maps.

# Choi Decomposition

Applying Theorem 1 repeatedly,

**Theorem 2**

Let $2 \leq k < \min\{m, n\}$. Any non-zero $k$-positive (respectively $k$-copositive) map in $B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is the sum of at most $(k-1)$ many non-zero completely positive (respectively completely copositive) maps and a positive map which is the trivial lifting of a positive map in $B(M_{m-k+1}(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$.

# Choi Decomposition

Applying Theorem 1 repeatedly,

**Theorem 2**

Let $2 \leq k < \min\{m, n\}$. Any non-zero $k$-positive (respectively $k$-copositive) map in $B(M_m(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is the sum of at most $(k-1)$ many non-zero completely positive (respectively completely copositive) maps and a positive map which is the trivial lifting of a positive map in $B(M_{m-k+1}(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$.

Remark: The Choi decomposition may no longer be valid for a general positive map $\phi$, even when $\phi$ is in $B(M_2(\mathbb{C}), M_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

# An Affirmative Answer to the Conjecture

**Theorem 3**

Every 2-positive or 2-copositive map $\phi$ in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$ is decomposable.

# An Affirmative Answer to the Conjecture

**Theorem 3**

Every 2-positive or 2-copositive map $\phi$ in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$ is decomposable.

Proof: WOLOG, we assume the 2-positive(respectively 2-copositive) map $\phi$ is not zero. In this concrete case of $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$, the peel-off process yields that:

$$\phi = \psi + \tilde{\kappa}_p \textit{ for some } p \in \{1, ..., m\},$$

where $\psi$ is completely positive (respectively completely copositive) and $\tilde{\kappa}_p$ is a $p$-trivial lifting of a positive map $\kappa \in B(M_2(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$.

# An Affirmative Answer to the Conjecture

We will use an important result of Størmer and Woronowicz:

# An Affirmative Answer to the Conjecture

We will use an important result of Størmer and Woronowicz:

**Positive=Decomposable in $2 \otimes 2$, $2 \otimes 3$ and $3 \otimes 2$.**

$\mathbb{P}_1[m, n] = \mathbb{D}[m, n]$ holds when $mn \leq 6$.

# An Affirmative Answer to the Conjecture

We will use an important result of Størmer and Woronowicz:

**Positive=Decomposable in $2 \otimes 2$, $2 \otimes 3$ and $3 \otimes 2$.**

$\mathbb{P}_1[m, n] = \mathbb{D}[m, n]$ holds when $mn \leq 6$.

Since every positive map in $B(M_2(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$ is decomposable in $B(M_2(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$, by properties of trivial lifting, the lifted map $\tilde{\kappa}_p$ is decomposable in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$.

# An Affirmative Answer to the Conjecture

We will use an important result of Størmer and Woronowicz:

**Positive=Decomposable in $2 \otimes 2$, $2 \otimes 3$ and $3 \otimes 2$.**

$\mathbb{P}_1[m, n] = \mathbb{D}[m, n]$ holds when $mn \leq 6$.

Since every positive map in $B(M_2(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$ is decomposable in $B(M_2(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$, by properties of trivial lifting, the lifted map $\tilde{\kappa}_p$ is decomposable in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$.
Hence, $\phi = \psi + \tilde{\kappa}_p$ is also decomposable.

# A Corollary & An Example

## Corollary 4

Every indecomposable map in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$ is atomic (i.e., *not* the sum of a 2-positive map and a 2-copositive map).

# A Corollary & An Example

## Corollary 4

Every indecomposable map in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$ is atomic (i.e., *not* the sum of a 2-positive map and a 2-copositive map).

Remark: There exist different methods to decompose the 2-positive generalized Choi map $\Phi[a, b, c]$ into a sum of a completely positive map and a completely copositive map.

# A Corollary & An Example

## Corollary 4

Every indecomposable map in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_3(\mathbb{C}))$ is atomic (i.e., *not* the sum of a 2-positive map and a 2-copositive map).

Remark: There exist different methods to decompose the 2-positive generalized Choi map $\Phi[a, b, c]$ into a sum of a completely positive map and a completely copositive map.

## An Example

$$\Phi[a,b,c](X) = \begin{pmatrix} ax_{11}+bx_{22}+cx_{33} & -x_{12} & -x_{13} \\ -x_{21} & cx_{11}+ax_{22}+bx_{33} & -x_{23} \\ -x_{31} & -x_{32} & bx_{11}+cx_{22}+ax_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $X = [x_{ij}] \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$. Here $a \in [1, 2)$ and $bc \geq (2-a)(b+c)$.

# A Corollary & An Example

## An Example: Decomposition 1

$\Phi[a, b, c] = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$, where

$$\Phi_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax_{11} + bx_{22} + cx_{33} & -x_{12} & -x_{13} \\ -x_{21} & cx_{11} + ax_{22} & (\frac{2}{a} - a)x_{23} \\ -x_{31} & (\frac{2}{a} - a)x_{32} & bx_{11} + ax_{33} \end{bmatrix}, (CP)$$

$$\Phi_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & bx_{33} & (a - 1 - \frac{2}{a})x_{23} \\ 0 & (a - 1 - \frac{2}{a})x_{32} & cx_{22} \end{bmatrix} (CcoP).$$

# A Corollary & An Example

## An Example: Decomposition 1

$\Phi[a, b, c] = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$, where

$$\Phi_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax_{11} + bx_{22} + cx_{33} & -x_{12} & -x_{13} \\ -x_{21} & cx_{11} + ax_{22} & (\frac{2}{a} - a)x_{23} \\ -x_{31} & (\frac{2}{a} - a)x_{32} & bx_{11} + ax_{33} \end{bmatrix}, (CP)$$

$$\Phi_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & bx_{33} & (a - 1 - \frac{2}{a})x_{23} \\ 0 & (a - 1 - \frac{2}{a})x_{32} & cx_{22} \end{bmatrix} (CcoP).$$

Another decomposition given by Cho, Kye and Lee is:

# A Corollary & An Example

## An Example: Decomposition 1

$\Phi[a,b,c] = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$, where

$$\Phi_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax_{11}+bx_{22}+cx_{33} & -x_{12} & -x_{13} \\ -x_{21} & cx_{11}+ax_{22} & (\frac{2}{a}-a)x_{23} \\ -x_{31} & (\frac{2}{a}-a)x_{32} & bx_{11}+ax_{33} \end{bmatrix}, (CP)$$

$$\Phi_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & bx_{33} & (a-1-\frac{2}{a})x_{23} \\ 0 & (a-1-\frac{2}{a})x_{32} & cx_{22} \end{bmatrix} (CcoP).$$

Another decomposition given by Cho, Kye and Lee is:

## An Example: Decomposition 2

$$\Phi[a,b,c] = (1-\sqrt{bc})\Phi\left[\frac{a-\sqrt{bc}}{1-\sqrt{bc}}, 0, 0\right](CP) + \sqrt{bc}\Phi\left[1, \sqrt{\frac{b}{c}}, \sqrt{\frac{c}{b}}\right](CcoP).$$

# Question 1: An Algorithm for Decomposition

**Given an arbitrary decomposable map, is there a canonical algorithm to decompose it?**

# Question 1: An Algorithm for Decomposition

**Given an arbitrary decomposable map, is there a canonical algorithm to decompose it?**

**Is such an algorithm possible, even in $B(M_2(\mathbb{C}), M_2(\mathbb{C}))$?**

# Question 2: An Example in Higher Dimensions

**Does there exist a 2-positive but indecomposable map in $B(M_3(\mathbb{C}), M_4(\mathbb{C}))$?**

# References


- S. J. Cho, S.-H. Kye and S. G. Lee, *Generalized Choi maps in three-dimensional matrix algebra*, Linear Alg. Appl. **171** (1992), 213-224.
- M.-D. Choi, Seminar talk at National University of Singapore, 30 July 2015.
- M.-H. Eom and S.-H. Kye, *Duality for positive linear maps in matrix algebras*, Math. Scan. **86** (2000), 130-142
- T. Itoh, *Positive maps and cones in $C^*$-algebras*, Math. Japonica, **31** (1986), 607-616.

# References

- S.-H. Kye, *Facial structures for various notions of positivity and applications to the theory of entanglement*, Rev. Math. Phys. **25** (2013), 1330002.
- M. Marciniak, *On extremal positive maps acting between type I factors*, Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis with Applications to probability II, Banach Center Publications, **89** (2010), 201-221.
- H. Osaka, *Indecomposable positive maps in low dimensional matrix algebra*, Linear Alg. Appl. **153** (1991), 73-83.
- A. Sanpera, D. Bruß and M. Lewenstein, *Schmidt number witnesses and bound entanglement*, Phys Rev. A **63** (2001), 050301.

# References


- E. Størmer, *Positive linear maps of operator algebras*, Acta Mathematica **110** (1963), 233-278.
- B. M. Terhal and P. Horodecki, *A Schmidt number for density matrices*, Phys Rev. A **61** (2000), 040301.
- S. L. Woronowicz, *Positive maps of low dimensional matrix algebras*, Rep. Math. Phys. **10** (1976), 165-183.
- Y. Yang, D. H. Leung and W. S. Tang, *All 2-positive linear maps from $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ are decomposable*, Linear Alg. Appl. **503** (2016), 233-247.

*Thank you for your attention!*