

A generalized conservation property for the heat semigroup on weighted manifolds

Jun Masamune
(with Marcel Schmidt at Jena University)

Himeji Conference on PDEs 2019
EGRET HIMEJI 4-6 March 2019

1 Motivation

2 Results

3 Key Lemmas

- (M, g, μ) a weighted manifold with density $\Psi > 0$, that is $d\mu = \Psi dx_g$
- Weighted Laplacian:

$$\Delta f = \frac{1}{\Psi} \operatorname{div}(\Psi \nabla f)$$

- Sobolev space:

$$H_0^1 = \overline{C_c^\infty(M)}^{H^1}, \quad (u, v)_{H^1} = \int_M uv \, d\mu + \int_M g(\nabla u, \nabla v) \, d\mu$$

- Semigroup: $\exp(t\Delta) : L^2(M, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(M, \mu)$

$u_t := \exp(t\Delta)f$ solves $(\partial_t - \Delta)u_t = 0$ and $u_t \rightarrow f$ as $t \rightarrow 0$

with $D(\Delta) := \{u \in H_0^1 \mid \Delta u \in L^2(M, \mu)\}$

- $\exp(t\Delta)$ extends uniquely to $T_t : L^\infty(M, \mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(M, \mu)$

We say that T_t (or M) is *conservative* ((C) for short) iff

$$T_t 1(x) \equiv 1, \quad \forall t > 0, \forall x \in M \quad (\text{C})$$

- \mathbb{R}^n is (C) (the integral of the Gaussian distribution equals to 1)
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ domain is not (C) (Dirichlet boundary condition)
- $M = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$, where K is closed, then TFAE
 - (i) M is (C)
 - (ii) $\text{cap}(K) = 0$, where

$$\text{cap}(K) = \inf \{ \|u\|_{H^1} \mid u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), u|_K \geq 1 \}$$

(iii) $H_0^1(M) = H^1(M)$

Set up

All manifolds in this slide are complete. $v(r) = \mu(B_x(r))$

Theorem 1.1 (Yau 1978)

$$\inf_{x \in M} Ric(x) > -\infty \implies (C)$$

Theorem 1.2 (Davies 1992, Takeda 1989)

$$v(r) \leq Ce^{r^2} \implies (C)$$

Remark:

$$v(r) = e^{r^{2+\delta}}, \quad \delta > 0 \not\implies (C)$$

Theorem 1.3 (Grigoryan 1986)

$$\int^{\infty} \frac{rdr}{\log v(r)} = \infty \implies (C)$$

Important criteria for the conservation property uses “Liouville type property”

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - \Delta)u = 0 \\ u|_{t=0} \equiv 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{with } u \in L^\infty \implies u \equiv 0 \quad (\text{K})$$

Theorem 1.4 (Khasminskii, 1960)

$$(C) \iff (K)$$

- Khasminskii’s test extends to a much wider class of operators including Dirichlet forms without killing inside.

Set up

Consider

$$L = \Delta - V, \quad V \in C^\infty(M), \quad V \geq 0$$

Recall

$$V(x) > 0 \text{ at } x \in M \implies e^{tL}1(x) < 1$$

Goal 1

To establish a conservation property in a more general sense which is characterized by Khasminskii's criteria for L .

In discrete setting, M. Keller and D. Lenz studied this problem in "Dirichlet forms and stochastic completeness of graphs and subgraphs. J. Reine Angew. Math., 2012.

Set up (Rather unusual)

- M a weighted manifold with weighted Laplacian $\Delta = \frac{1}{\Psi}(\operatorname{div}(\Psi\nabla))$
- With a strictly positive $\rho \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$L_{\rho,V} := \frac{1}{\rho}(\Delta - V)$$

$\implies L_{\rho,V}$ restricted to $C_c^\infty(M)$ is symmetric in $L^2(M, \rho\mu)$.

- Sobolev spaces:

$$H^1(M, \rho) := \{f \in L^2(M, \rho\mu) \mid \nabla f \in L^2(TM, \mu)\}$$

with

$$(u, v)_{H^1} := \int_M g(\nabla u, \nabla v) d\mu + \int_M uv \rho d\mu$$

$$H_0^1(M, \rho) := \overline{C_c^\infty(M)}^{H^1(M, \rho)}$$

- Dirhclct form $(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,V}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,V}))$ in $L^2(M, \rho)$:

$$D(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,V}) := H_0^1(M, V + \rho) \subset L^2(M, V + \rho) \subset L^2(M, \rho)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rho,V}(u, v) := \int_M g(\nabla u, \nabla v) d\mu + \int_M uvV d\mu, \quad \forall u, v \in D(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,V})$$

- Generator $L_{\rho,V}$ in $L^2(M, \rho\mu)$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rho,V}(f, \psi) = (-L_{\rho,V}f, \psi)_{L^2(M, \rho\mu)}, \quad \forall f \in D(L_{\rho,V}), \psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$$

- Markovian Semigroup and Markovian Resolvent

$$T_t^{\rho, V} := \exp(tL_{\rho, V}) : L^\infty(M) \rightarrow L^\infty(M), \quad t > 0$$

$$G_\alpha^{\rho, V} := (\alpha - L_{\rho, V})^{-1} : L^\infty(M) \rightarrow L^\infty(M), \quad \alpha > 0$$

Definition 1.1 (Conservative in the generalized sense (CG))

With $\hat{V} = V/\rho$, let

$$H_t := T_t^{\rho, V} \mathbf{1} + \int_0^t T_s^{\rho, V} \hat{V} ds$$

We say $L_{\rho, V}$ in $L^2(M, \rho)$ is conservative in the generalized sense (in short, CG) iff

$$H_t(x) = 1, \quad \forall t > 0, x \in M$$

Denote $H = H_t$ and $\mathcal{C} := C^\infty((0, \infty) \times M) \cap C([0, \infty) \times M)$

Theorem 2.1

$H \in \mathcal{C}$, $0 \leq H \leq 1$, and

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - L_{\rho, \nu})H = \hat{V}, & (0, \infty) \times M \\ H \equiv 1, & \{0\} \times M. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, $1 - H$ is the largest function $u \in \mathcal{C}$ with $u \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - L_{\rho, \nu})u \leq 0, & (0, \infty) \times M \\ u \equiv 0, & \{0\} \times M. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$N_\alpha := \alpha G_\alpha^{\rho, V} 1 + G_\alpha^{\rho, V} \hat{V}, \quad \alpha > 0$$

Theorem 2.2

$$N_\alpha = \int_0^\infty \alpha e^{-t\alpha} H_t dt$$

$N_\alpha \in C^\infty(M)$, $0 \leq N_\alpha \leq 1$, and

$$(\alpha - L_{\rho, V})N_\alpha = \alpha 1 + \hat{V}$$

Furthermore, $1 - N_\alpha$ is the largest function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with $f \leq 1$ and

$$(\alpha - L_{\rho, V})f \leq 0$$

Theorem 2.3 (Khasminskii's test)

The following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) $L_{\rho, \nu}$ is (CG).
- (ii) $\forall \exists \alpha > 0, (\alpha - L_{\rho, \nu})f = 0, f \in C_b^\infty(M) \implies f \equiv 0.$
- (iii) $\forall \exists \alpha > 0, (\alpha - L_{\rho, \nu})f \leq 0, f \in C_b^\infty(M), f \geq 0 \implies f \equiv 0.$

(iv)

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - L_{\rho, \nu})u = 0, & (0, \infty) \times M, \\ u(0, \cdot) \equiv 0 \end{cases}, \quad u \in \mathcal{C}_b \implies u \equiv 0$$

(v)

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - L_{\rho, \nu})u \leq 0, & (0, \infty) \times M, \\ u(0, \cdot) \equiv 0 \end{cases}, \quad u \in \mathcal{C}_b, u \geq 0 \implies u \equiv 0$$

Corollary 2.1

- (i) $L_{\rho,0}$ is (C) $\implies L_{\rho,V}$ is (CG) with any $V \in C_+(M)$.
- (ii) $L_{\rho,V}$ in $L^2(M, \rho)$ is (CG) $\iff L_{\rho+V,0}$ in $L^2(M, \rho + V)$ is (C).

Corollary 2.2

Of the following (i) \implies (ii). If $\rho + V \in L^1(M, \mu)$ then (i) \iff (ii).

- (i) $L_{\rho,V}$ in $L^2(M, \rho)$ is (CG)
- (ii) $H^1(M, \rho + V) = H_0^1(M, \rho + V)$

Corollary 2.3

Let M be a complete weighted manifold M .

- M admits V such that $L_{\rho, V}$ is (CG).
- In particular, if M is spherically symmetric (model manifold) with radially symmetric ρ and V and if $\mu = \text{vol}_g$, then TFAE

(i) $L_{\rho, V}$ in $L^2(M, \rho \text{vol}_g)$ is (CG).

(ii)

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{v(r)}{s(r)} dr + \int_0^\infty \frac{\int_0^r s(\xi) V(\xi) d\xi}{s(r)} dr = \infty$$

where $v(r)$ is the volume of $B(0, r)$ and $s(r) = \frac{d}{dr} v(r)$.

Lemma 1

The space $W_0^1(M, \rho + V)$ is an order ideal in $W^1(M, \rho + V)$, i.e., for $f \in W_0^1(M, \rho + V)$, $g \in W^1(M, \rho + V)$ the inequality $|g| \leq |f|$ implies $g \in W_0^1(M, \rho + V)$.

We say $f \in W^1(M, \rho + V)$ satisfies

$$f \geq 0 \quad \text{mod } W_0^1(M, \rho + V)$$

if there exists $g \in W_0^1(M, \rho + V)$ such that $f + g \geq 0$.

Lemma 2

A function $f \in W^1(M, \rho + V)$ satisfies $f \geq 0 \quad \text{mod } W_0^1(M, \rho + V)$ if and only if $f_- \in W_0^1(M, \rho + V)$.

Lemma 3 (Parabolic maximum principle)

Let $T \in (0, \infty]$ and $v : (0, T) \rightarrow W^1(M, \rho + V)$ be a path with the following properties

- 1 $\partial_t v$ exists as a strong limit in $L^2(M, \rho\mu)$,
- 2 $v(t)_- \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(M, \rho\mu)$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$,
- 3 for every $t \in (0, T)$, $v(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{mod } W_0^1(M, \rho + V)$,
- 4 for every $s \in (0, T)$, $\partial_t v(s) \geq L_{\rho, V}(v(s))$ as distributions.

Then $v \geq 0$ on $(0, T) \times M$

Lemma 4 (Elliptic maximum principle)

Let $f \in W^1(M, \rho + V)$ with

$$f \geq 0 \quad \text{mod } W_0^1(M, \rho + V).$$

If for some $\alpha > 0$ it satisfies $(\alpha - L)f \geq 0$, then $f \geq 0$.

We denote by (T_t^Ω) the $L^2(\Omega, \rho\mu)$ -semigroup of the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rho, V}^\Omega(f, g) = \int_\Omega \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \, d\mu + \int_\Omega Vfg \, d\mu$$

with domain $D(\mathcal{E}_{\rho, V}^\Omega) = W_0^1(\Omega, \rho + V)$. We extend it to $f \in L^2(M, \rho\mu)$ by

$$T_t^\Omega f := \begin{cases} T_t^\Omega f|_\Omega & \text{on } \Omega, \\ 0 & \text{on } M \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5 (Duhamel's principle)

Let $\Omega \subset M$ be an open relatively compact subset and let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}$ nonnegative. If $\partial_t u - Lu \geq f$ on $(0, \infty) \times \Omega$, then

$$u_t \geq T_t^\Omega u_0 + \int_0^t T_s^\Omega f \, ds.$$

Lemma 6

Let $e, f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ and let $u : (0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(M, \rho\mu)$ given by

$$u(t) = T_t^{\rho, V} e + \int_0^t T_s^{\rho, V} f ds.$$

For each $t > 0$ we have $u(t) \in C^\infty(M)$. Moreover, the function $\tilde{u} : (0, \infty) \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(t, x) \mapsto u(t)(x)$ belongs to \mathcal{C} and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - L)\tilde{u} = f, \\ \tilde{u}_0 = e. \end{cases}$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Theorem 2.3 (Khasminskii's test) is proved based on Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Items in the proof of Corollary 2.3.

- Suffices to prove that $L_{\rho+V,0}$ is (C) in $L^2(M, \rho + V)$.
- New distance $d_{\rho+V}$ is defined as

$$d_{\rho+V} = \sup\{f(x) - f(y) \mid f \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(M) \cap C(M) \text{ with } |\nabla f| \leq \rho + V\}$$

- (M, d) complete $\implies (M, d_{\rho+V})$ complete
- Apply the Grigoryan-Strum volume growth criteria for a regular local Dirichlet form with a complete intrinsic distance:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{rdr}{\max\{\log \mu(r), 1\}} = \infty \implies (C)$$