

# Low-energy asymptotics in perturbed periodically twisted quantum waveguides

Daniel Parra

University of Tokyo

Himeji Conference on Partial Differential Equations  
March 5th, 2019

# Outline

- ① Periodically twisted quantum waveguides
- ② Spectral properties of the unperturbed operator  $H_0$ .
- ③ Twisted and bent waveguides
- ④ Resonances
- ⑤ The  $\beta = 0$  case
- ⑥ Sketch of proof

# Periodically twisted quantum waveguides

Let  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded domain with a  $C^2$  boundary and let  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\mathcal{L}_0 : \mathbb{R} \times \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  by

$$\mathcal{L}_0(s, t) = (s, t_2 \cos(\beta s) \mathbf{e}_2 - t_3 \sin(\beta s) \mathbf{e}_3, t_2 \sin(\beta s) \mathbf{e}_2 + t_3 \cos(\beta s) \mathbf{e}_3)$$

We construct the periodically twisted tube as  $\Omega_0 := \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ .

# Periodically twisted quantum waveguides

Let  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded domain with a  $C^2$  boundary and let  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\mathcal{L}_0 : \mathbb{R} \times \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  by

$$\mathcal{L}_0(s, t) = (s, t_2 \cos(\beta s) \mathbf{e}_2 - t_3 \sin(\beta s) \mathbf{e}_3, t_2 \sin(\beta s) \mathbf{e}_2 + t_3 \cos(\beta s) \mathbf{e}_3)$$

We construct the periodically twisted tube as  $\Omega_0 := \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ .

We assume that  $\omega$  is not rotationally symmetric.

# The Dirichlet Laplacian in $\Omega_0$

We consider the Dirichlet Laplacian in  $\Omega_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is a diffeomorphism, one can see that it is actually unitary equivalent with the operator acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$  given by:

$$-H_0 = \Delta_\omega + (\partial_s - \beta \partial_\varphi)^2$$

where  $\partial_\varphi = t_2 \partial_3 - t_3 \partial_2$ .

## The Dirichlet Laplacian in $\Omega_0$

We consider the Dirichlet Laplacian in  $\Omega_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is a diffeomorphism, one can see that it is actually unitary equivalent with the operator acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$  given by:

$$-H_0 = \Delta_\omega + (\partial_s - \beta\partial_\varphi)^2$$

where  $\partial_\varphi = t_2\partial_3 - t_3\partial_2$ . Using the partial Fourier transform with respect to the first (longitudinal) variable, denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can see that  $H_0$  is unitary equivalent to an analytically fibered operator:

$$\mathcal{F}H_0\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{h}_0(p)dp,$$

where for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathfrak{h}_0(p) = -\Delta_\omega - (ip - \beta\partial_\varphi)^2$$

is an operator acting in  $L^2(\omega)$ .

## Spectral properties of $H_0$

The family  $\{\mathfrak{h}_0(p)\}$  is analytic of type A in the sense of Kato. Since each operator  $\mathfrak{h}_0(p)$  has purely discrete spectrum we have a band structure. Furthermore the first eigenvalue  $E_1(p)$  is analytic and simple. We can see that the spectrum of  $H_0$  is purely absolutely continuous and given by

$$\sigma(H_0) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(\mathbb{R}) = [\mathcal{E}_1, \infty),$$

Furthermore, it is known that there exist an effective mass  $m_\beta$  such that

$$E_1(p) = \mathcal{E}_1 + m_\beta p^2(1 + O(p))$$

with  $0 < m_\beta \leq 1$ , the equality holding if  $\beta = 0$ .

## The perturbed tube $\Omega$

Let us consider now a perturbation of  $\Omega_0$  by considering a smooth curve  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is asymptotically straight. In particular we will ask that its curvature  $\kappa$  and torsion  $\tau$  are smooth functions decaying at infinity.

## The perturbed tube $\Omega$

Let us consider now a perturbation of  $\Omega_0$  by considering a smooth curve  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is asymptotically straight. In particular we will ask that its curvature  $\kappa$  and torsion  $\tau$  are smooth functions decaying at infinity. We assume that  $\gamma$  has a distinct frame (Frenet Frame) satisfying

$$\mathbf{e}_1(s) = \dot{\gamma}(s), \quad \kappa(s)\mathbf{e}_2(s) = \dot{\mathbf{e}}_1(s), \quad \mathbf{e}_3(s) = \mathbf{e}_1(s) \times \mathbf{e}_2(s).$$

## The perturbed tube $\Omega$

Let us consider now a perturbation of  $\Omega_0$  by considering a smooth curve  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is asymptotically straight. In particular we will ask that its curvature  $\kappa$  and torsion  $\tau$  are smooth functions decaying at infinity. We assume that  $\gamma$  has a distinct frame (Frenet Frame) satisfying

$$\mathbf{e}_1(s) = \dot{\gamma}(s), \quad \kappa(s)\mathbf{e}_2(s) = \dot{\mathbf{e}}_1(s), \quad \mathbf{e}_3(s) = \mathbf{e}_1(s) \times \mathbf{e}_2(s).$$

We consider a twisting by an angle  $\theta(s)$  with respect to this frame defined a twisted frame  $\{\mathbf{e}_1^\theta, \mathbf{e}_2^\theta, \mathbf{e}_3^\theta\}$ . We assume that it is asymptotically periodic in the sense that it satisfies  $\dot{\theta}(s) = \varepsilon(s) + \beta$  with  $\varepsilon$  decaying at infinity. Then we can define

$$\mathcal{L}(s, t_2, t_3) = \gamma(s) + t_2\mathbf{e}_2^\theta(s) + t_3\mathbf{e}_3^\theta(s)$$

and set accordingly  $\Omega := \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ .

# The Dirichlet Laplacian in $\Omega$

If we assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is injective and  $\|\kappa\|_{L^\infty} \sup_{t \in \omega} |t| < 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a diffeomorphism between the straight tube  $\mathbb{R} \times \omega$  and  $\Omega$ .

# The Dirichlet Laplacian in $\Omega$

If we assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is injective and  $\|\kappa\|_{L^\infty} \sup_{t \in \omega} |t| < 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a diffeomorphism between the straight tube  $\mathbb{R} \times \omega$  and  $\Omega$ . Implementing this equivalence, we can see that the Dirichlet Laplacian in  $\Omega$  is equivalent with the operator in  $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$  defined by

$$-H = \Delta_\omega + \frac{\kappa^2}{4h^2} + \left( h^{-1/2} (\partial_s + (\tau - \dot{\theta}) \partial_\varphi) h^{-1/2} \right)^2$$

where

$$h(s, t) = 1 - \kappa(s)(t_2 \cos(\theta(s)) + t_3 \sin(\theta(s)))$$

is the square root of the determinant of the metric tensor induced by  $\mathcal{L}$ .

## The coupling constant $\delta$

We want to make our deformed tube depending on a coupling constant. For  $\delta \in [0, 1]$  consider

$$\dot{\theta}_\delta = \beta + \delta\varepsilon, \quad \kappa_\delta = \delta\kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\delta = \delta\tau.$$

We define in  $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$  the operator given by particularizing the expression for  $H$  to  $\theta_\delta, \kappa_\delta$  and  $\tau_\delta$

$$H_\delta = -\Delta_\omega - \frac{\delta^2 \kappa^2}{4h_\delta^2} - \left( h_\delta^{-1/2} (\partial_s + (\delta(\tau - \varepsilon) - \beta) \partial_\varphi) h_\delta^{-1/2} \right)^2,$$

where  $h_\delta(s, t) = 1 - \delta\kappa(s)(t_2 \cos \theta_\delta(s) + t_3 \sin \theta_\delta(s))$ .

## Assumptions (1)

We assume that  $\varepsilon, \kappa, \tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are functions of class  $C^2$  with exponential decay i.e., for some  $\alpha > 2(\mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$  they satisfy

$$\kappa(s), \tau(s), \varepsilon(s) = O(e^{-\alpha\langle s \rangle}),$$

where  $\langle s \rangle := (1 + s^2)^{1/2}$ , and the same assumption for their first and second derivatives. Setting

$$W(\delta) = H_\delta - H_0$$

we can see that that  $W(\delta)$  is a second order differential operator with decaying coefficients for every  $\delta$ . Under this assumptions one can show that  $H_\delta^{-1} - H_0^{-1}$  is compact, and hence conclude that

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\delta) = [\mathcal{E}_1, \infty).$$

## Assumptions (2)

Let  $\eta$  be an exponential weight of the form

$$\eta(s) = e^{-N\langle s \rangle} \quad \text{with} \quad (\mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} < N < \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

The function

$$k \mapsto \left( (H_\delta - \mathcal{E}_1 - k^2)^{-1} : \eta L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega) \rightarrow \eta^{-1} D(H) \subset \eta^{-1} L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega) \right),$$

initially defined for  $k$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{++} := \{k \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Im} k > 0; \operatorname{Re} k > 0\}$ , is clearly analytic

## Theorem ( $\beta \neq 0$ )

Let  $\varepsilon, \kappa, \tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be non-zero  $C^2$ -functions as above and fix a sufficiently small neighborhood of zero  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for  $\delta \leq \delta_0$ , the analytic operator-valued function

$$k \mapsto \left( (H_\delta - \mathcal{E}_1 - k^2)^{-1} : \eta L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega) \mapsto \eta^{-1} L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega) \right)$$

admits a meromorphic extension on  $\mathcal{D}$ . This function has a unique pole  $k(\delta)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , which has multiplicity one. Moreover, we have

$$k(\delta) = i\mu_1\delta + O(\delta^2),$$

where

$$\mu_1 = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{m_\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \omega} (\tau - \varepsilon) |\partial_\varphi \psi_1|^2 - \frac{\beta^2}{\sqrt{m_\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \omega} \kappa (|\partial_\varphi \psi_1|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\psi_1|^2) \vartheta,$$

with  $\vartheta(s, t) := (t_2 \cos(\beta s) + t_3 \sin(\beta s))$ . Furthermore, the pole  $k(\delta)$  is a purely imaginary number.

- In terms of the resolvent  $(H_\delta - z)^{-1}$  we can say that it admits a meromorphic extension in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  in the 2-sheeted Riemann surface of  $\sqrt{z - \mathcal{E}_1}$ .

# Comments

- In terms of the resolvent  $(H_\delta - z)^{-1}$  we can say that it admits a meromorphic extension in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  in the 2-sheeted Riemann surface of  $\sqrt{z - \mathcal{E}_1}$ .
- If  $\mu_1 > 0$ , the pole  $k(\delta)$  lies in the positive imaginary axis and correspond to a real resonance under the essential spectrum (in particular it gives raise to an eigenvalue).

- In terms of the resolvent  $(H_\delta - z)^{-1}$  we can say that it admits a meromorphic extension in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  in the 2-sheeted Riemann surface of  $\sqrt{z - \mathcal{E}_1}$ .
- If  $\mu_1 > 0$ , the pole  $k(\delta)$  lies in the positive imaginary axis and correspond to a real resonance under the essential spectrum (in particular it gives raise to an eigenvalue).
- If  $\mu_1 < 0$ , the pole  $k(\delta)$  lies in the negative imaginary axis and correspond to a resonance that lies in the second sheet of the 2-sheeted Riemann surface. In particular this kind of resonances are far from the real axis and are sometimes refer as anti-bound states.

- In terms of the resolvent  $(H_\delta - z)^{-1}$  we can say that it admits a meromorphic extension in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  in the 2-sheeted Riemann surface of  $\sqrt{z - \mathcal{E}_1}$ .
- If  $\mu_1 > 0$ , the pole  $k(\delta)$  lies in the positive imaginary axis and correspond to a real resonance under the essential spectrum (in particular it gives raise to an eigenvalue).
- If  $\mu_1 < 0$ , the pole  $k(\delta)$  lies in the negative imaginary axis and correspond to a resonance that lies in the second sheet of the 2-sheeted Riemann surface. In particular this kind of resonances are far from the real axis and are sometimes refer as anti-bound states.
- If  $\mu_1 = 0$  we need to go the next order in order to understand the nature of the resonance.

## Theorem ( $\beta = 0$ )

Let us set  $\beta = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon, \kappa, \tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be non-zero  $C^2$ -functions satisfying the above stated decay. Fix a sufficiently small neighborhood of zero  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for  $\delta \leq \delta_0$ , the analytic operator-valued function

$$k \mapsto \left( (H_\delta - \mathcal{E}_1 - k^2)^{-1} : \eta L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega) \rightarrow \eta^{-1} L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega) \right)$$

admits a meromorphic extension on  $\mathcal{D}$ . This function has a unique pole  $k(\delta)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , which has multiplicity one. Moreover

$$k(\delta) = i\mu_2\delta^2 + O(\delta^3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2 = & \frac{1}{8} \sum_{q>1} (\mathcal{E}_q - \mathcal{E}_1)^2 \langle \psi_q | t_2 \psi_1 \rangle^2 \langle \kappa | (D_s^2 + \mathcal{E}_q - \mathcal{E}_1)^{-1} \kappa \rangle \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q>1} (\mathcal{E}_q - \mathcal{E}_1) \langle \psi_q | \partial_\varphi \psi_1 \rangle^2 \langle (\tau - \varepsilon) | (D_s^2 + \mathcal{E}_q - \mathcal{E}_1)^{-1} (\tau - \varepsilon) \rangle \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q>1} (\mathcal{E}_q - \mathcal{E}_1) \langle \pi_q t_2 \psi_1 | \partial_\varphi \psi_n \rangle \langle \tau - \varepsilon | (D_s^2 + \mathcal{E}_q - \mathcal{E}_1)^{-1} \dot{\kappa} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

## Sketch of proof (1)

- Localizing in energy and using the properties of the first band  $\mathcal{E}_1$  one can first show that

$$\eta R_0(k)\eta := \eta(H_0 - \mathcal{E}_1 - k^2)^{-1}\eta = \frac{A_{-1}}{k} + F(k)$$

where  $F(k)$  is analytic in a some small neighborhood  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $A_{-1}$  is a rank one operator.

## Sketch of proof (1)

- Localizing in energy and using the properties of the first band  $\mathcal{E}_1$  one can first show that

$$\eta R_0(k)\eta := \eta(H_0 - \mathcal{E}_1 - k^2)^{-1}\eta = \frac{A_{-1}}{k} + F(k)$$

where  $F(k)$  is analytic in a some small neighborhood  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $A_{-1}$  is a rank one operator.

- Since in  $\mathbb{C}^{++}$  we have

$$\eta(H_\delta - \mathcal{E}_1 - k^2)^{-1}\eta = \eta R_0(k)\eta \left( \text{Id} + \eta^{-1}W_\delta\eta^{-1}\eta R_0(k)\eta \right)^{-1}$$

we need to study  $\eta^{-1}W_\delta\eta^{-1}\eta R_0(k)\eta$ .

## Sketch of proof (2)

### Lemma

*There exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{D}$  of zero and  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$  and  $k \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}$*

$$\eta^{-1}W_\delta\eta^{-1}\eta R_0(k)\eta = \frac{1}{k}K_0(\delta) + T(\delta, k),$$

*where  $K_0(\delta)$  is the rank one operator*

$$K_0(\delta) = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{m_\beta}}|\eta^{-1}W_\delta\psi_1\rangle\langle\eta \otimes \psi_1|,$$

*and  $\mathcal{D} \ni k \mapsto (T(\delta, k): L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega))$  is an analytic operator-valued function. Moreover,*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathcal{D}} \|T(\delta, k)\| = O(\delta).$$

## Sketch of proof (3)

To end the proof one can see that

$$\left(\text{Id} + \eta^{-1}W_\delta R_0(k)\eta\right) = \left(\text{Id} + T(\delta, k)\right) \left(\text{Id} + \frac{1}{k}(\text{Id} + T(\delta, k))^{-1}K_0(\delta)\right)$$

implies that by setting

$$\nu_\delta(k) := \langle \eta\psi_1 | \frac{1}{k}(\text{Id} + T(\delta, k))^{-1} \frac{i}{2\sqrt{m_\beta}} \eta^{-1}W_\delta\psi_1 \rangle$$

there exist projections  $\Pi_\delta^\perp$  and  $\Pi_\delta$  we have

$$\eta R(k)\eta = \frac{1}{k + \nu_\delta(k)} \left( A_{-1} + kF(k) \right) \Pi_\delta (\text{Id} + T(\delta, k))^{-1} + F(k) \Pi_\delta^\perp (\text{Id} + T(\delta, k))^{-1}.$$